arXiv:1203.6780v4 [math.OC] 26 May 2012

ENERGY DECAY RATES FOR SOLUTIONS OF THE WAVE EQUATION WITH LINEAR DAMPING IN EXTERIOR DOMAIN

M. DAOULATLI

Abstract. In this paper we study the behavior of the energy and the L^2 norm of solutions of the wave equation with localized linear damping in exterior domain. Let u be a solution of the wave system with initial data (u_0, u_1). We assume that the damper is positive at infinity then under the Geometric Control Condition of Bardos et al [3] (1992), we prove that:

(1) The total energy $E_u(t) \leq C_0 (1+t)^{-1} I_0$ and $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_0 I_0$ if (u_0, u_1) belong to $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, where

$$I_0 = \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 .$$

(2) The total energy $E_u(t) \leq C_2 (1+t)^{-2} I_1$ and $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2 (1+t)^{-1} I_1$, if the initial data (u_0, u_1) belong to $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ and verifies $\|d(\cdot)(u_1 + a u_0)\|_{L^2} < +\infty$, where

$$I_1 = \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d(\cdot)(u_1 + a u_0)\|_{L^2}^2 .$$

.

1. Introduction and Statement of the result

Let O be a compact domain of $\mathbb{R}^d$ $(d \geq 2)$ with C^∞ boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d \backslash O$. Consider the following wave equation with localized linear damping

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u + a(x)\partial_t u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma, \\ u(0,x) = u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t u(0,x) = u_1. & \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Here Δ denotes the Laplace operator in the space variables. $a(x)$ is a nonnegative function in $L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ \Delta & -a \end{pmatrix},$$

and $H = H_D(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, the completion of $(C_0^\infty(\Omega))^2$ with respect to the norme

$$\|(\varphi_0, \varphi_1)\|_H^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\nabla\varphi_0|^2 + |\varphi_1|^2 \, dx,$$

then the domain of A

$$D(A) = \left\{ (u_0, u_1) \in H, A\begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} \in H \right\}.$$

Date: March 1, 2013.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35L05, 35B40; Secondary: 35L70, 35B35 .

Key words and phrases. Wave equation, linear damping, Decay rate.

This work is supported by the Tunisian Ministry for Scientific Research and Technology within the LAB-STI 02 program.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(u_0, u_1) \in D(A^n)$. Linear semigroup theory applied to (1.1), provides existence of a unique solution u in the class

$$(u, \partial_t u) \in C^k\left(\mathbb{R}_+, D\left(A^{n-k}\right)\right), \text{ with } k \leq n.$$

Moreover, if (u_0, u_1) is in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, then the system (1.1), admits a unique solution u in the class

$$u \in C^0\left(\mathbb{R}_+, H_0^1(\Omega)\right) \cap C^1\left(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\Omega)\right).$$

With (2.2) we associate the energy functional given by

$$E_u(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \left(|\nabla u(t,x)|^2 + |\partial_t u(t,x)|^2\right) dx.$$

The energy functional satisfies the following identity

$$E_u(T) + \int_0^T \int_\Omega a(x) |\partial_t u|^2 \, dxdt = E_u(0), \tag{1.2}$$

for every $T \geq 0$.

Zuazua [16], Nakao [14], Dehman et al [7] and Aloui et al [1] have considered the problem for the Klein-Gordon type wave equations with localized dissipations. For the Klein-Gordon equations the energy functional it self contains the L^2 norm and boundedness of L^2 norm of solution is trivial. Thus under a geometric condition we can show that the energy decays exponentially while for the system (1.1) the energy decay rate is weaker and more delicate.

In the case when $a(x) \geq \epsilon_0 > 0$ in all of Ω we know that

$$E_u(t) \leq C_0 (1+t)^{-1} I_0 \text{ and } \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_0 I_0, \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \tag{1.3}$$

for weak solution u to the system (1.1) with initial data in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$.

Nakao in [13] obtained the same estimates in (1.3) for a damper a which is positive near some part of the boundary (Lions's condition) and near infinity.

On the other hand, Dan-Shibata [5] studied the local energy decay estimates for the compactly supported weak solutions of (1.1) with $a(x) = 1$

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_R} \left(|\nabla u(t,x)|^2 + |\partial_t u(t,x)|^2\right) dx \leq C (1+t)^{-d}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $B_R = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, |x| < R\right\}$.

Furthermore Ikehata and Matsuyama in [10] obtained a more precise decay estimate for the energy of solutions of the problem (1.1) with $a(x) = 1$ and for weighted initial data

$$E_u(t) \leq C_2 (1+t)^{-2} I_1 \text{ and } \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_2 (1+t)^{-1} I_1 \text{ for all } t \geq 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Especially this estimate seems sharp for $d = 2$ as compared with that of [5].

Ikehata in [9] derived a fast decay rate like (1.5) for solutions of the system (1.1) with weighted initial data and assuming that $a(x) \geq \epsilon_0 > 0$ at infinity and $O = \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \Omega$ is star shaped with respect to the origin.

For another type of total energy decay property we refer the reader to [8, 11, 15, 2] and reference therein.

Before introducing our results we shall state several assumptions:

Hyp A: There exists $L > 0$ such that

$$a(x) > \epsilon_0 > 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq L.$$

Definition 1. *(ω, T) geometrically controls Ω, i.e. every generalized geodesic travelling with speed 1 and issued at $t = 0$, enters the set ω in a time $t < T$.*

This condition is called Geometric Control Condition (see e.g.[3]). We shall relate the open subset ω with the damper a by

$$\omega = \{x \in \Omega; a(x) > \epsilon_0 > 0\}.$$

We note that according to [3] and [4] the Geometric Control Condition of Bardos et al is a necessary and sufficient condition for the stabilization of the wave equation in bounded domain.

The goal of this paper is to prove that under the geometric control condition of Bardos et al [3] and for a damper a positive near infinity, the estimates in (1.3) hold for all solutions of the system (1.1) with initial data in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ and to show that the estimates in (1.5) hold for all solutions of the system (1.1) with weighted initial data. Moreover we show that for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exists a initial data in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ such that the solution v of (1.1) verifies

$$E_v(t) \leq C(1+t)^{-p} \text{ and } \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(1+t)^{-p+1}, \text{ for all } t \geq 0$$

and for some $C > 0$ depending on the initial data.

Theorem 1. *We assume that Hyp A holds and (ω, T) geometrically controls Ω. Then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that the following estimates*

$$E_u(t) \leq C_0 (1+t)^{-1} I_0 \text{ and } \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_0 I_0, \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

hold for every solution u of (1.1) with initial data (u_0, u_1) in $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$, where

$$I_0 = \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2.$$

As a corollary of theorem 1 we have:

Proposition 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We assume that Hyp A holds and (ω, T) geometrically controls Ω. Let (u_0, u_1) in $D(A^n)$, such that $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then the solution u of (1.1) satisfies*

$$E_{\partial_t^n u}(t) \leq C_n (1+t)^{-n-1} I_{0,n} \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

$$\|\partial_t^n u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_{n-1} (1+t)^{-n} I_{0,n-1} \text{ for all } t \geq 0,$$

and

$$\left\|\Delta \partial_t^{n-1} u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_n (1+t)^{-n} I_{0,n} \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

where C_p is a positive constant independent of the initial data and

$$I_{1,p} = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \left\|A^i(u_0, u_1)\right\|_H^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2, \text{ for } p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In the sequel, we use

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} |x| & d \geq 3, \\ |x| \ln(B|x|) & d = 2, \end{cases}$$

with $B \inf_{x \in \Omega} |x| \geq 2$.

Theorem 2. *We assume that Hyp A holds and* (ω,T) *geometrically controls* Ω. *Then there exists* $C_2>0$ *such that the following estimates*

$$E_u(t)\leq C_2(1+t)^{-2}I_1 \text{ and } \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\leq C_2(1+t)^{-1}I_1 \text{ for all } t\geq 0,$$

hold for every solution u *of* (1.1) *with initial data* (u_0,u_1) *in* $H_0^1(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$ *which satisfies*

$$\|d(\cdot)(u_1+au_0)\|_{L^2}<+\infty,$$

where

$$I_1=\|u_0\|_{H^1}^2+\|u_1\|_{L^2}^2+\|d(\cdot)(u_1+au_0)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

As a corollary we have:

Proposition 2. *Let* $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$. *We assume that Hyp A holds and* (ω,T) *geometrically controls* Ω. *Let* (u_0,u_1) *in* $D(A^n)$, *such that* $u_0\in L^2(\Omega)$ *and*

$$\|d(\cdot)(u_1+au_0)\|_{L^2}<+\infty$$

Then the solution u *of* (1.1) *satisfies*

$$E_{\partial_t^n u}(t)\leq C_n(1+t)^{-n-2}I_{1,n}, \text{ for all } t\geq 0,$$

$$\|\partial_t^n u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\leq C_{n-1}(1+t)^{-n-1}I_{1,n-1}, \text{ for all } t\geq 0,$$

and

$$\left\|\Delta\partial_t^{n-1}u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2\leq C_{n+1}(1+t)^{-n-1}I_{1,n}, \text{ for all } t\geq 0.$$

where C_p *is a positive constant independent of the initial data and*

$$I_{1,p}=\sum_{i=0}^{p}\left\|A^i(u_0,u_1)\right\|_H^2+\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2+\|d(\cdot)(u_1+au_0)\|_{L^2}^2, \text{ for } p\in\mathbb{N}.$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1

In order to prove theorem 1 we need some preliminary results.

Proposition 3. *We assume that Hyp A holds and* (ω,T) *geometrically controls* Ω. *Let* $M=\Omega\cap B_{2L}$. *Setting*

$$\omega_1=\omega\cap B_{2L}=\{x\in\Omega\cap B_{2L};a(x)>\epsilon_0>0\}.$$

Then (ω_1,T) *geometrically controls* M. *Therefore there exists a positive constant* C_T^1, *such that the following estimate*

$$E_w(t)\leq C_T^1\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_M a|\partial_t w|^2+|f(s,x)|^2\,dxds\right), \tag{2.1}$$

holds for every $t\geq 0$, *for every solution* w *of*

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^2 w-\Delta w+a(x)\partial_t w=f(t,x) & \mathbb{R}_+\times M,\\ w=0 & \mathbb{R}_+\times\partial M,\\ (w(0),\partial_t w(0))=(w_0,w_1), & \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

with initial data in the energy space $H_0^1(M)\times L^2(M)$, *and for every* f *in* $L_{loc}^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+,L^2(M)\right)$.

Proof. We remind that

$$a(x) > \epsilon_0 > 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq L.$$

Let

$$\omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega \cap B_{2L}; a(x) > \epsilon_0 > 0\}.$$

To prove that (ω_1, T) geometrically controls M, we have only to show that every geodesic starting from B_L enters the set ω_1 in a time $t < T$. Let γ a geodesic starting from B_L, then we have the following two cases

- γ stays in B_L for every $t \in [0, T[$. Since $(\omega = \{x \in \Omega; a(x) > \epsilon_0 > 0\}, T)$ geometrically controls Ω, we infer that γ enters the set $\{x \in \Omega \cap B_L; a(x) > \epsilon_0 > 0\} \subset \omega_1$.
- γ leaves the ball B_L for some $t \in [0, T[$. Therefore γ enters the set $\{x \in \Omega \cap B_{2L}; |x| \geq L\}$, since
$$a(x) > \epsilon_0 > 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq L$$
we deduce that γ enters the set ω_1.

Therefore using [6, proposition 3], we obtain (2.1). □

Proposition 4. *We assume that Hyp A holds and* (ω, T) *geometrically controls* Ω. *Let* $\delta > 0$ *and* $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *There exists* $C_{T,\delta,\chi} > 0$, *such that the following inequality*

$$\int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega \chi^2(x) \left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2\right) dxds \leq C_{T,\delta,\chi} \left(\int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega a(x) |\partial_t u|^2 dxds\right) + \delta E_u(t), \quad (2.3)$$

holds for every $t \geq 0$ *and for all* u *solution of* (1.1) *with initial data* (u_0, u_1) *in* H.

Proof. To prove this result we argue by contradiction: If (2.3) was false, there would exist a sequence of numbers (t_n) and a sequence of solutions (u_n) such that

$$\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T} \int_\Omega \chi^2(x) \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + |\partial_t u_n|^2\right) dxds \geq n \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T} \int_\Omega a(x) |\partial_t u_n|^2 dxdt\right) + \delta E_{u_n}(t_n). \quad (2.4)$$

Setting

$$\lambda_n^2 = \int_{t_n}^{t_n+T} \int_\Omega \chi^2(x) \left(|\nabla u_n|^2 + |\partial_t u_n|^2\right) dxds \text{ and } v_n = \frac{u_n(t_n + \cdot)}{\lambda_n}.$$

It is clear that (2.4), gives

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega a(x) |\partial_t v_n|^2 dxdt \underset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ and } E_{v_n}(0) \leq \frac{1}{\delta}. \quad (2.5)$$

Let Z_n be the solution of the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 Z_n - \Delta Z_n = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \\ Z_n = 0 & \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma, \\ (Z_n(0), \partial_t Z_n(0)) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n}(u_n(t_n), \partial_t u_n(t_n)). & \end{cases}$$

The hyperbolic energy inequality gives

$$\sup_{[0,T]} E_{v_n - Z_n}^{1/2}(s) \leq 2 \|a(x) \partial_t v_n\|_{L^1([0,T], L^2(\Omega))},$$

Now using (2.5), we infer that

$$\sup_{[0,T]} E_{v_n - Z_n}(s) \underset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_\omega |\partial_t Z_n|^2\,dxdt \le 2T\sup_{[0,T]} E_{v_n-Z_n}(s)+\int_0^T\int_\omega |\partial_t v_n|^2\,dxdt.$$

Since $a(x)\ge\epsilon_0>0$ on ω, from (2.5), we deduce that

$$\int_0^T\int_\omega |\partial_t v_n|^2\,dxdt \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

(2.6) combined with the result above, gives

$$\int_0^T\int_\omega |\partial_t Z_n|^2\,dxdt \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{2.7}$$

It is clear that

$$\sup_{[0,T]} E_{Z_n}(t)=E_{Z_n}(0)=E_{v_n}(0)\le\frac{1}{\delta}.$$

Therefore, along a subsequence,(Z_n) is convergent to a function

$$L^2\in C([0,T];H_D(\Omega)) \text{ and } \partial_t Z\in L^2\left([0,T];L^2(\Omega)\right),$$

with respect to the weak topology. Since Z satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^2 Z-\Delta Z=0 & \text{in } [0,T]\times\Omega,\\ Z=0 & \text{on } [0,T]\times\Gamma,\\ (Z_0,Z_1)\in H_D(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega) & \\ \partial_t Z(t,x)=0 & \text{on } [0,T]\times\omega.\end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

$$Z\in C([0,T];H_D(\Omega)) \text{ and } \partial_t Z\in C\left([0,T];L^2(\Omega)\right),$$

We remind that $\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\ |x|\ge L\right\}\subset\omega$. By a classical result of unique continuation, we obtain that $\partial_t Z\equiv 0$ on $[0,T]\times\Omega$. this mean that $Z(t,x)=Z(x)$ is independent of t. Therefore, we have

$$\Delta Z=0 \text{ and } Z\in H_D(\Omega),$$

we conclude from this that $Z\equiv 0$ on $[0,T]\times\Omega$ (cf. [12, theorem 2.2 p 145]).

The sequence (Z_n) is bounded in $H^1_{loc}((0,T)\times\Omega)$, so eventually after extracting a subsequence we can associate to the sequence (Z_n) a microlocal defect measure μ. This measure satisfies these properties: The support of μ is contained in the characteristic set of the wave operator and it propagates along the geodesics of Ω.

Now using (2.7), we deduce that

$$\mu=0, \text{ on } (0,T)\times\omega.$$

Since (ω,T) geometrically controls Ω and μ propagates along geodesic flow, therefore we obtain

$$\mu=0, \text{ on } (0,T)\times\Omega.$$

Let $R>0$ such that the support of χ is contained in B_R and $\varphi\in C_0^\infty(0,T)$ such that

$$\int_0^T\varphi(s)\,ds\ge\epsilon>0.$$

Using the finite speed propagation property, we obtain

$$\int_0^T \varphi(s)\int_{\Omega\cap B_{R+T}} |\nabla Z_n(s)|^2 + |\partial_t Z_n(s)|^2\,dxds \geq \epsilon \int_{\Omega\cap B_R} |\nabla Z_n(t)|^2 + |\partial_t Z_n(t)|^2\,dx,$$

for all $t\in[0,T]$. Now passing to the limit and using the fact that

$$\mu = 0, \text{ on } (0,T)\times\Omega,$$

we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_R} |\nabla Z_n(t)|^2 + |\partial_t Z_n(t)|^2\,dx \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0, \text{ for all } t\in[0,T].$$

Using the result above and (2.6) we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_R} |\nabla v_n(t)|^2 + |\partial_t v_n(t)|^2\,dx \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0, \text{ for all } t\in[0,T].$$

So we conclude that

$$\int_\Omega \chi^2(x)\left(|\nabla v_n(t)|^2 + |\partial_t v_n(t)|^2\right)dx \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0, \text{ for all } t\in[0,T].$$

The fact that the energy of v_n is decreasing, gives

$$\int_\Omega \chi^2(x)\left(|\nabla v_n(t)|^2 + |\partial_t v_n(t)|^2\right)dx \leq C, \text{ for all } t\in[0,T].$$

By the dominated convergence theorem we infer that

$$1 = \int_0^T\int_\Omega \chi^2(x)\left(|\nabla v_n(t)|^2 + |\partial_t v_n(t)|^2\right)dxdt \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

□

As a corollary we have,

Corollary 1. *We assume that Hyp A holds and* (ω,T) *geometrically controls* Ω. *Let* $\delta,R>0$. *There exists* $C_{T,\delta,R}>0$, *such that the following inequality*

$$\int_t^{t+T}\int_{\Omega\cap B_R}\left(|\nabla u|^2 + |\partial_t u|^2\right)dxds \leq C_{T,\delta,R}\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(x)|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds\right) + \delta E_u(t), \quad (2.8)$$

holds for every $t\geq 0$ *and for all* u *solution of* (1.1) *with initial data* (u_0,u_1) *in* H.

In order to prove theorem 1 we need the following result

Lemma 1. *Let* $\psi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $0\leq\psi\leq 1$ *and*

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x|\leq L \\ 0 & \text{for } |x|\geq 2L \end{cases}$$

Setting $w=\psi u$ *and* $v=(1-\psi)u$ *where* u *is a solution of* (1.1) *with initial data in* $H_0^1(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$. *Let*

$$X(t) = \int_\Omega v(t)\,\partial_t v(t)\,dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega a(x)|v(t)|^2\,dx + kE_u(t),$$

where k is a positive constant. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & X\left(t+T\right)-X\left(t\right)+\int_{t}^{t+T}E_{u}\left(s\right)ds+\left(k-\frac{2}{\epsilon_{0}}\right)\int_{t}^{t+T}\int_{\Omega}a\left|\partial_{t}u\right|^{2}dxds \\ \leq & \; 2\int_{t}^{t+T}E_{w}\left(s\right)ds+\int_{t}^{t+T}\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\psi\right|^{2}\left|u\right|^{2}dxds. \qquad (2.9)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Noting that for each (u_0,u_1) in $H_0^1(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$ the solution u of (1.1) are given as a limit of smooth solution u_n with initial data $(u_{n,0},u_{n,1})$ smooth such that $(u_{n,0},u_{n,1}) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} (u_0,u_1)$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$. Note that

$$\left\|u_n(t,.)-u(t,.)\right\|_{H^1}+\left\|\partial_t u_n(t,.)-\partial_t u(t,.)\right\|_{L^2}\underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow}0,$$

uniformly on the each closed interval $[0,T]$ for any $T>0$. Therefore we may assume that u is smooth.

We have $v=(1-\psi)u$. Then v is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 v-\Delta v+a(x)\partial_t v=f(t,x) & \mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega,\\ v=0 & \mathbb{R}_+\times\Gamma,\\ (v(0),\partial_t v(0))=(1-\psi)(u_0,u_1), & \end{cases} \qquad (2.10)$$

with

$$f(t,x)=2\nabla\psi\nabla u+u\Delta\psi.$$

Using the fact that v is a solution of (2.10) and that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_u(t)=-\int_\Omega a(x)\left|\partial_t u(t)\right|^2dx,$$

we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt}X(t)=\int_\Omega\left|\partial_t v(t)\right|^2-\left|\nabla v(t)\right|^2dx-k\int_\Omega a(x)\left|\partial_t u(t)\right|^2dx+\int_\Omega f(t,x)\,vdx.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega f(t,x)\,vdx &= \int_\Omega\left(2\nabla\psi\nabla u+u\Delta\psi\right)(1-\psi)\,udx\\ &= \int_\Omega\nabla\psi\nabla u^2+u^2\Delta\psi dx-\int_\Omega\frac{1}{2}\nabla\psi^2\nabla u^2+u^2\psi\Delta\psi dx\\ &= \int_\Omega\left|\nabla\psi\right|^2\left|u\right|^2dx.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}X(t) &= \int_\Omega\left|\partial_t v(t)\right|^2-\left|\nabla v(t)\right|^2dx-k\int_\Omega a(x)\left|\partial_t u(t)\right|^2dx+\int_\Omega\left|\nabla\psi\right|^2\left|u\right|^2dx\\ &= 2\int_\Omega\left|\partial_t v(t)\right|^2dx-2E_v(t)-k\int_\Omega a(x)\left|\partial_t u(t)\right|^2dx+\int_\Omega\left|\nabla\psi\right|^2\left|u\right|^2dx\\ &\leq 2\int_\Omega\left|\partial_t v(t)\right|^2dx-E_u(t)+2E_w(t)-k\int_\Omega a(x)\left|\partial_t u(t)\right|^2dx+\int_\Omega\left|\nabla\psi\right|^2\left|u\right|^2dx.\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that the support of $(1-\psi)$ is contained in the set $\{x\in\Omega,a(x)>\epsilon_0\}$, we infer that

$$\int_\Omega\left|\partial_t v(t)\right|^2dx=\int_\Omega\left|(1-\psi)\,\partial_t u\right|^2dx\leq\frac{1}{\epsilon_0}\int_\Omega a(x)\left|\partial_t u(t)\right|^2dx.$$

This gives

$$\frac{d}{dt}X(t)+E_u(t)+\left(k-\frac{2}{\epsilon_0}\right)\int_\Omega a(x)\left|\partial_t u(t)\right|^2 dx \le 2E_w(t)+\int_\Omega |\nabla\psi|^2|u|^2 dx. \tag{2.11}$$

Integrating the estimate above between t and $t+T$ we get (2.9). □

2.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.** In the sequel C, C_T and $C_{T,\delta}$ denote a generic positive constants and any changes from one derivation to the next will not be explicitly outlined.

Let $\psi\in C_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ such that $0\le\psi\le 1$ and

$$\psi(x)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{for } |x|\le L\\ 0 & \text{for } |x|\ge 2L\end{cases}$$

Setting $w=\psi u$ and $v=(1-\psi)u$ where u is a solution of (1.1) with initial data in $H_0^1(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega)$. Let

$$X(t)=\int_\Omega v(t)\,\partial_t v(t)\,dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega a(x)|v(t)|^2dx+kE_u(t).$$

According to lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned}&X(t+T)-X(t)+\int_t^{t+T}E_u(s)\,ds+\left(k-\frac{2}{\epsilon_0}\right)\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a|\partial_t u|^2dxds\\ \le\;& 2\int_t^{t+T}E_w(s)\,ds+\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega|\nabla\psi|^2|u|^2dxds.\end{aligned}$$

We have $w=\psi u$. Then w is a solution of

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^2 w-\Delta w+a(x)\partial_t w=f(t,x) & \mathbb{R}_+\times M,\\ w=0 & \mathbb{R}_+\times\partial M,\\ (w(0),\partial_t w(0))=(\psi u_0,\psi u_1), \end{cases}$$

with $M=\Omega\cap B_{2L}$ and

$$f(t,x)=-2\nabla\psi\nabla u-u\Delta\psi\in L^2_{loc}\left(\mathbb{R}_+,L^2(M)\right).$$

Since $(\psi u_0,\psi u_1)\in H_0^1(M)\times L^2(M)$, using (2.1) we infer that the following inequality

$$E_w(t)\le C_T^1\left(\int_s^{s+T}\int_\Omega a|\partial_t w|^2+|f(\tau,x)|^2dxd\tau\right), \tag{2.12}$$

holds for every $t\ge 0$. According to ([6, proposition 2])

$$\begin{aligned}E_w(s)&\le 2e^{s-t}\left(E_w(t)+\int_t^s\int_\Omega|f(\tau,x)|^2dxd\tau\right)\\ &\le 2e^T\left(E_w(t)+\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega|f(\tau,x)|^2dxd\tau\right),\ \text{for } t\le s\le t+T.\end{aligned}$$

The estimate above combined with (2.12) gives

$$\int_t^{t+T}E_w(s)\,ds\le\tilde{C}_T\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a|\partial_t w|^2+|f(\tau,x)|^2dxd\tau\right),$$

since

$$\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a|\partial_t w|^2dxd\tau\le\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a|\partial_t u|^2dxd\tau,$$

we obtain

$$\int_t^{t+T} E_w(s)\,ds \le \tilde{C}_T \left(\int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega a\,|\partial_t u|^2 + |f(\tau,x)|^2\,dx d\tau \right),$$

On the other hand, using Poincare's inequality we obtain

$$\int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega |f(\tau,x)|^2\,dx d\tau \le C_L \int_t^{t+T} \int_{\Omega\cap B_{2L}} |\nabla u|^2\,dx ds,$$

for some $C_L > 0$. The estimate (2.8), gives

$$\int_t^{t+T} E_w(s)\,ds \le C_{T,\delta} \left(\int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega a\,|\partial_t u|^2\,dx d\tau \right) + C_L \tilde{C}_T \delta E_u(t),$$

since

$$E_u(t) \le \int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega a\,|\partial_t u|^2\,dx d\tau + \frac{1}{T} \int_t^{t+T} E_u(s)\,ds, \tag{2.13}$$

we deduce that

$$\int_t^{t+T} E_w(s)\,ds \le C_{T,\delta} \left(\int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega a\,|\partial_t u|^2\,dx d\tau \right) + C_T \delta \int_t^{t+T} E_u(s)\,ds.$$

Using (2.8) and (2.13), we infer that

$$\int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega |\nabla \psi|^2 |u|^2\,dx ds \le C_{T,\delta} \left(\int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega a\,|\partial_t u|^2\,dx d\tau \right) + C_T \delta \int_t^{t+T} E_u(s)\,ds.$$

Now (2.9) and the estimates above gives

$$X(t+T) - X(t) + (1 - 3C_T\delta) \textstyle\int_t^{t+T} E_u(s)\,ds + \left(k - \frac{2}{\epsilon_0} - 3C_{T,\delta} \right) \int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega a\,|\partial_t u|^2\,dx ds \le 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) &\le \frac{3}{2} \|a\|_\infty \int_\Omega |v(t)|^2\,dx + \left(k + \frac{2}{\epsilon_0} \right) E_u(t) \text{ and} \\ X(t) &\ge \frac{\epsilon_0}{4} \int_\Omega |v(t)|^2\,dx + \left(k - \frac{8}{\epsilon_0} \right) E_u(t). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

We choose δ and k such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - 3C_T\delta &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ k - \frac{2}{\epsilon_0} - 3C_{T,\delta} &\ge \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } k - \frac{8}{\epsilon_0} \ge \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

therefore we obtain

$$X(t+T) - X(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+T} E_u(s)\,ds + \epsilon \int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega a\,|\partial_t u|^2\,dx ds \le 0, \tag{2.15}$$

this gives

$$X(nT) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{nT} E_u(s)\,ds \le X(0), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbb{R}_+} X(t) + \int_0^{+\infty} E_u(s)\,ds &\le CX(0) \\ &\le CI_0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

with

$$I_0 = \|u_0\|^2_{H^1} + \|u_1\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Since $1-\psi \equiv 1$ for $|x| \geq 2L$ and

$$X(t) \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{4}\int_\Omega |v(t)|^2\,dx \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{4}\int_{\{|x|\geq 2L\}} |u(t)|^2\,dx,$$

therefore using (2.16) we obtain

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}_+}\int_{\{|x|\geq 2L\}} |u(t)|^2\,dx \leq \frac{4C}{\epsilon_0} I_0.$$

Poincare's inequality and the fact that the energy of u is decreasing gives

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_{2L}} |u(t)|^2\,dx \leq C_L \int_\Omega |\nabla u(t)|^2\,dx \leq C_L E_u(0).$$

Combining the last two estimates, we get

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}_+}\int_\Omega |u(t)|^2\,dx \leq \frac{4C}{\epsilon_0} I_0 + C_L E_u(0) \leq C I_0.$$

The energy decay estimate follows from (2.16) and the fact that

$$(1+t)\,E_u(t) \leq E_u(0) + \int_0^{+\infty} E_u(s)\,ds \leq C I_0, \text{ for all } t \geq 0. \tag{2.17}$$

This finishes the proof of theorem 1, now we give the proof of proposition 1.

2.2. **Proof of proposition 1.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and u solution of (1.1) with initial data (u_0, u_1) in $D(A^n)$ such that $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. We set $u_n = \partial_t^n u$. First we prove

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (1+s)^n\,E_{u_n}(s)\,ds \leq C_n I_{0,n}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$I_{0,n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left\| A^i \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_H^2 + \|u_0\|^2_{L^2}$$

Let u be a solution of (1.1) with initial data (u_0, u_1) in $D\left(A^0\right)$ such that $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$. From (2.16) we infer that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} E_u(s)\,ds \leq C_0 I_0.$$

We assume that the following estimate

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (1+s)^p\,E_{u_p}(s)\,ds \leq C_p I_{0,p}, \tag{2.19}$$

holds, for all solution u of (1.1) with initial data (u_0, u_1) in $D(A^p)$ such that $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Let u be a solution of (1.1) with initial data (u_0, u_1) in $D\left(A^{p+1}\right)$ such that $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$.

We have $u_{p+1}=\partial_t^p\left(\partial_t u\right)$. Since $\left(\partial_t u\left(0\right),\partial_t^2 u\left(0\right)\right)=A\begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}\in D\left(A^p\right)$ and $\partial_t u\left(0\right)=u_1\in L^2\left(\Omega\right)$. According to (2.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{+\infty}\left(1+s\right)^p E_{u_{p+1}}\left(s\right)ds &\leq C_p\left(\sum_{i=0}^{p}\left\|A^{i+1}\begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}\right\|_H^2+\left\|u_1\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\ &\leq C_p I_{0,p+1}. \end{aligned}\tag{2.20}$$

Let $\psi\in C_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ such that $0\leq\psi\leq 1$ and

$$\psi\left(x\right)=\begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \left|x\right|\leq L \\ 0 & \text{for } \left|x\right|\geq 2L \end{cases}$$

Setting $w=\psi u_{p+1}$ and $v=\left(1-\psi\right)u_{p+1}$. Let

$$X\left(t\right)=\int_\Omega v\left(t\right)\partial_t v\left(t\right)dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega a\left(x\right)\left|v\left(t\right)\right|^2 dx+kE_{u_{p+1}}\left(t\right),$$

where k is a positive constant. u_{p+1} satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u_{p+1}-\Delta u_{p+1}+a\left(x\right)\partial_t u_{p+1}=0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega, \\ u_{p+1}=0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+\times\Gamma, \\ \left(u_{p+1}\left(0,x\right),\partial_t u_{p+1}\left(0,x\right)\right)\in H_0^1\left(\Omega\right)\times L^2\left(\Omega\right), & \end{cases}$$

Then we know from (2.15) that

$$X\left(t+T\right)-X\left(t\right)+\frac{1}{2}\int_t^{t+T}E_{u_{p+1}}\left(s\right)ds+\epsilon\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a\left|\partial_t u_{p+1}\right|^2 dxds\leq 0.\tag{2.21}$$

Multiplying the estimate above by $\left(1+t+T\right)^{p+1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{l}\left(1+t+T\right)^{p+1}X\left(t+T\right)-\left(1+t\right)^{p+1}X\left(t\right)\\ +\frac{1}{2}\int_t^{t+T}\left(1+s\right)^{p+1}E_{u_{p+1}}\left(s\right)ds\leq C_T\left(1+t\right)^p X\left(t\right).\end{array}$$

Therefore using (2.19), (2.20) and the fact that

$$X\left(t\right)\leq\frac{3}{2}\left\|a\right\|_\infty E_{u_p}\left(t\right)+\left(k+\frac{2}{\epsilon_0}\right)E_{u_{p+1}}\left(t\right),$$

we deduce that for any $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{qT} (1+s)^{p+1} E_{u_{p+1}}(s)\,ds &\leq C_T \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (1+iT)^p X(iT) \\
&\leq C_T \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (1+iT)^p \left(E_{u_{p+1}}(iT) + E_{u_p}(iT)\right) \\
&\leq C_T \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \int_{iT}^{(i+1)T} (1+s)^p \left(E_{u_{p+1}}(s) + E_{u_p}(s)\right) ds \\
&\quad + C_T \left(E_{u_{p+1}}(0) + E_{u_p}(0)\right) \\
&\leq C_T \int_0^{+\infty} (1+s)^p \left(E_{u_{p+1}}(s) + E_{u_p}(s)\right) ds \\
&\quad + C_T \left(E_{u_{p+1}}(0) + E_{u_p}(0)\right) \\
&\leq C_{T,p} I_{0,p+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (1+s)^{p+1} E_{u_{p+1}}(s)\,ds \leq C_{p+1} I_{0,p+1}$$

We remind that we have proved that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (1+s)^n E_{u_n}(s)\,ds \leq C_n I_{0,n}.$$

Now the energy decay estimate follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+t)^{n+1} E_{u_n}(t) &\leq E_{u_n}(0) + (n+1)\int_0^t (1+s)^n E_{u_n}(s)\,ds \\
&\leq C_n I_{0,n},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Now using the estimate above, we infer that,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+t)^n \left\|\partial_t^n u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq 2(1+t)^n E_{u_{n-1}}(t) \\
&\leq C_1 I_{0,n-1} \text{ for all } t \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

We have $\partial_t^{n-1} u$ is a solution of the following system

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t^{n+1} u - \Delta \partial_t^{n-1} u + a(x)\,\partial_t^n u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \\
\partial_t^{n-1} u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Gamma, \\
\left(\partial_t^{n-1} u(0,x), \partial_t^n u(0,x)\right) \in D(A)
\end{cases} \tag{2.22}$$

therefore

$$\left(\partial_t^{n-1} u, \partial_t^n u\right) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, H).$$

Using Eq (2.22), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1+t)^n \left\|\Delta \partial_t^{n-1} u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(1+t)^n \left(E_{u_n}(t) + E_{u_{n-1}}(t)\right) \\
&\leq C I_{0,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2

This section is devoted to the proof of theorem 2 and proposition 2, we begin by giving some preliminary results.

Proposition 5. *We assume that Hyp A holds and* (ω,T) *geometrically controls* Ω. *Let* $\delta>0$ *and* $\chi\in C_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$. *There exists* $C_{T,\delta,\chi}>0$, *such that the following inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}\textstyle\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega \chi^2(x)(1+s)|\nabla u|^2\,dxds \le C_{T,\delta,\chi}\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(x)(1+s)|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds\right)\\ +\delta\left((1+t)E_u(t)+\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega |u|^2\,dxds\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

holds for every $t\ge 0$ *and for all* u *solution of* (1.1) *with initial data* (u_0,u_1) *in* $H_0^1\times L^2$.

Proof. To prove this result we argue by contradiction: If (3.1) was false, there would exist a sequence of numbers (t_n) and a sequence of solutions (u_n) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\textstyle\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T}\int_\Omega \chi^2(x)(1+s)|\nabla u_n|^2\,dxds \ge n\left(\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T}\int_\Omega a(x)(1+s)|\partial_t u_n|^2\,dxds\right)\\ +\delta\left((1+t_n)E_{u_n}(t_n)+\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T}\int_\Omega |u_n|^2\,dxds\right).\end{aligned}$$

We may assume that $t_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$ (if the sequence t_n is bounded we can argue as in the proof of proposition 4). Setting

$$\lambda_n^2=\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T}\int_\Omega \chi^2(x)(1+s)|\nabla u_n|^2\,dxds \text{ and } v_n=\frac{(1+t_n+\cdot)^{1/2}u_n(t_n+\cdot)}{\lambda_n}.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}(1+t_n)E_{u_n}(t_n)\le\frac{1}{\delta} \text{ and } \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}\int_0^T\int_\Omega |u_n(t_n+s)|^2\,dxds\le\frac{1}{\delta}, \tag{3.2}$$

moreover

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T}\int_\Omega a(x)(1+s)|\partial_t u_n|^2\,dxds \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{3.3}$$

v_n is a solution of the following system

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^2 v_n-\Delta v_n+a\partial_t v_n=f_n(t,x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega,\\ v_n(t,x)=0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+\times\Gamma,\\ (v_{n,0},v_{n,1})\in H_0^1(\Omega)\times L^2(\Omega), & \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}f_n(t,x) &= \frac{1}{2\lambda_n}(1+t_n+t)^{-\frac12}\left(a(x)-\frac12(1+t_n+t)^{-1}\right)u_n(t_n+t)\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{\lambda_n}(1+t_n+t)^{-\frac12}\partial_t u_n(t_n+t).\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that (3.2), gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T\int_\Omega\left|\frac{1}{2\lambda_n}(1+t_n+t)^{-\frac12}\left(a(x)-\frac12(1+t_n+t)^{-1}\right)u_n(t_n+t)\right|^2dxdt\\ \le\;& C(1+t_n)^{-1}\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T}\int_\Omega |u_n(s)|^2\,dxds\\ \le\;& C\frac{(1+t_n)^{-1}}{\delta}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\int_\Omega\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_n}(1+t_n+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_t u_n(t_n+t)\right|^2dxdt &\leq \frac{(1+t_n)^{-1}}{\lambda_n^2}\int_0^T\int_\Omega|\partial_t u_n(t_n+t)|^2dxdt\\ &\leq \frac{(1+t_n)^{-1}}{\lambda_n^2}2TE_{u_n}(t_n)\\ &\leq \frac{2T(1+t_n)^{-2}}{\delta}.\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\int_0^T\int_\Omega|f_n(s,x)|^2dxds\leq C\frac{(1+t_n)^{-1}}{\delta}\underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow}0. \tag{3.4}$$

(3.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\int_\Omega a(x)|\partial_t v_n|^2dxdt &\leq \|a\|_\infty(1+t_n)^{-1}\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T}\int_\Omega|u_n(s)|^2dxds\\ &\quad+\frac{2}{\lambda_n^2}\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T}\int_\Omega a(x)(1+s)|\partial_t u_n|^2dxds\\ &\leq \frac{\|a\|_\infty(1+t_n)^{-1}}{\delta}+\frac{2}{\lambda_n^2}\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T}\int_\Omega a(x)(1+s)|\partial_t u_n|^2dxds.\end{aligned}$$

Now using (3.3), we deduce that

$$\int_0^T\int_\Omega a(x)|\partial_t v_n|^2dxdt\underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow}0. \tag{3.5}$$

We multiply the equation satisfied by u_n by $(1+t)\partial_t u_n$ and integrating between t_n and t_n+t, we obtain

$$(1+t_n+t)E_{u_n}(t_n+t)-(1+t_n)E_{u_n}(t_n)=\int_{t_n}^{t_n+t}E_{u_n}(s)ds-\int_{t_n}^{t_n+T}\int_\Omega a(x)(1+s)|\partial_t u_n|^2dxds$$

thus by using (3.2), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}(1+t_n+t)E_{u_n}(t_n+t) &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}(1+t_n)E_{u_n}(t_n)+\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}\int_{t_n}^{t_n+t}E_{u_n}(s)ds\\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{T}{\delta},\text{ for all } t\in[0,T].\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T E_{v_n}(t)dt &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2}\int_0^T\left[(1+t_n+t)E_{u_n}(t_n+t)+(1+t_n+t)^{-1}\int_\Omega|u_n(t_n+t)|^2dx\right]dt\\ &\leq \frac{C_T}{\delta}.\end{aligned}$$

Let Z_n be the solution of the following system

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^2 Z_n-\Delta Z_n=0 & \mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega,\\ Z_n=0 & \mathbb{R}_+\times\Gamma,\\ (Z_n(0),\partial_t Z_n(0))=(v_n(0),\partial_t v_n(0)).\end{cases}$$

The hyperbolic energy inequality gives

$$\sup_{[0,T]} E_{v_n - Z_n}(s) \leq C_T \left\| a(x)\, \partial_t v_n + f_n(t,x) \right\|^2_{L^2([0,T],L^2(\Omega))}$$

Now using (3.5) and (3.4), we deduce that

$$\sup_{[0,T]} E_{v_n - Z_n}(s) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Using the estimate above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} TE_{v_n}(0) &= TE_{Z_n}(0) = \int_0^T E_{Z_n}(t)\,dt \\ &\leq 2T\sup_{[0,T]} E_{v_n - Z_n}(s) + 2\int_0^T E_{v_n}(t)\,dt \\ &\leq C_{T,\delta} \end{aligned}$$

this gives

$$\sup_{[0,T]} E_{Z_n}(s) = E_{v_n}(0) \leq C_{T,\delta}.$$

Using the result above and ([6, proposition 2]), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{v_n}(t) &\leq 2e^T \left(E_{v_n}(0) + \int_0^T \int_\Omega |f_n(\tau,x)|^2\,dxd\tau \right) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq T \\ &\leq C_{T,\delta}, \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof we have only to argue as in the proof of the proposition 4. □

As a corollary we have

Corollary 2. *We assume that Hyp A holds and* (ω,T) *geometrically controls* Ω*. Let* $\delta, R > 0$*. There exists* $C_{T,\delta,R} > 0$*, such that the following inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+T} \int_{\Omega\cap B_R} (1+s)\,|\nabla u|^2\,dxds \leq C_{T,\delta,R} \left(\int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega a(x)(1+s)\,|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds \right) \\ + \delta \left((1+t)\,E_u(t) + \int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega |u|^2\,dxds \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

holds for every $t \geq 0$ *and for all* u *solution of* (1.1) *with initial data* (u_0,u_1) *in* H*.*

In the sequel we need the following result

Lemma 2. *Let* $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *such that* $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ *and*

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |x| \leq L \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq 2L \end{cases}$$

Setting $w = \psi u$ *and* $v = (1-\psi)\,u$ *where* u *is a solution of* (1.1) *with initial data in* $H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$*. Let*

$$X(t) = \int_\Omega v(t)\,\partial_t v(t)\,dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega a(x)\,|v(t)|^2\,dx + kE_u(t).$$

where k *is a positive constant. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+t+T)\,X(t+T) - (1+t)\,X(t) + \int_t^{t+T} (1+s)\,E_u(s)\,ds \\ &+ \left(k - \tfrac{2}{\epsilon_0}\right) \int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega a\,(1+s)\,|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds \\ &\leq 2\int_t^{t+T} (1+s)\,E_w(s)\,ds + \int_t^{t+T} \int_\Omega (1+s)\,|\nabla\psi|^2\,|u|^2\,dxds + \int_t^{t+T} X(s)\,ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. We may assume that u is smooth. According to (2.11)

$$\frac{d}{dt}X\left(t\right)+E_{u}\left(t\right)+\left(k-\frac{2}{\epsilon_{0}}\right)\int_{\Omega}a\left(x\right)\left|\partial_{t}u\left(t\right)\right|^{2}dx\leq2E_{w}\left(t\right)+\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\psi\right|^{2}\left|u\right|^{2}dx.$$

We multiply the estimate above by $1+t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left(1+t\right)X\left(t\right)+\left(1+t\right)E_{u}\left(t\right)+\left(k-\frac{2}{\epsilon_{0}}\right)\int_{\Omega}a\left(x\right)\left(1+t\right)\left|\partial_{t}u\left(t\right)\right|^{2}dx\\\leq\;&2\left(1+t\right)E_{w}\left(t\right)+\left(1+t\right)\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla\psi\right|^{2}\left|u\right|^{2}dx+X\left(t\right).\end{aligned}$$

We integrate the inequality above between t and $t+T$, we obtain (3.7). □

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 2.** In the sequel C, C_T and $C_{T,\delta}$ denote a generic positive constants and any changes from one derivation to the next will not be explicitly outlined.

Let $\psi\in C_0^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)$ such that $0\leq\psi\leq1$ and

$$\psi\left(x\right)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{for } |x|\leq L\\ 0 & \text{for } |x|\geq 2L\end{cases}$$

Setting $w=\psi u$ and $v=\left(1-\psi\right)u$ where u is a solution of (1.1) with initial data in $H_0^1\left(\Omega\right)\times L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ such that

$$\left\|d\left(\cdot\right)\left(u_1+au_0\right)\right\|_{L^2}<+\infty.$$

Let

$$X\left(t\right)=\int_{\Omega}v\left(t\right)\partial_{t}v\left(t\right)dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}a\left(x\right)\left|v\left(t\right)\right|^{2}dx+kE_{u}\left(t\right),$$

where k is a positive constant. According to (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1+t+T\right)X\left(t+T\right)-\left(1+t\right)X\left(t\right)+\int_{t}^{t+T}\left(1+s\right)E_{u}\left(s\right)ds\\&+\left(k-\frac{2}{\epsilon_{0}}\right)\int_{t}^{t+T}\int_{\Omega}a\left(1+s\right)\left|\partial_{t}u\right|^{2}dxds\\\leq\;&2\int_{t}^{t+T}\left(1+s\right)E_{w}\left(s\right)ds+\int_{t}^{t+T}\int_{\Omega}\left(1+s\right)\left|\nabla\psi\right|^{2}\left|u\right|^{2}dxds+\int_{t}^{t+T}X\left(s\right)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Let $w_1=\left(1+t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}w$. Then w_1 is a solution of

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^2w_1-\Delta w_1+a\left(x\right)\partial_tw_1=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+t\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(a\left(x\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(1+t\right)^{-1}\right)w+f\left(t,x\right) & \mathbb{R}_+\times M,\\ w_1=0 & \mathbb{R}_+\times\partial M,\\ \left(w_1\left(0\right),\partial_tw_1\left(0\right)\right)=\left(\psi u_0,\psi u_1\right), & \end{cases}$$

with $M=\Omega\cap B_{2L}$ and

$$f\left(t,x\right)=\left(1+t\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_tw-\left(1+t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(2\nabla\psi\nabla u+u\Delta\psi\right).$$

We have

$$\partial_tw_1=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+t\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}w+\left(1+t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\partial_tw$$

therefore, using Poincare's inequality we conclude that there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\left(1+t\right)E_w\left(t\right)\leq cE_{w_1}\left(t\right),\text{ for all }t\geq0.\tag{3.8}$$

Moreover we have

$$\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a\left|\partial_t w_1\right|^2 dxds \leq \left(1+\|a\|_\infty\right)\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a\left(1+s\right)\left|\partial_t w\right|^2+\left|w\right|^2 dxds.$$

$(\psi u_0,\psi u_1)\in H_0^1(M)\times L^2(M)$ and

$$\frac{1}{2}(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(a(x)-\frac{1}{2}(1+t)^{-1}\right)w+f(t,x)\in L_{loc}^2\left(\mathbb{R}_+,L^2(M)\right).$$

Therefore using (2.1), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{w_1}(t) &\leq C_T^1\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)\left|\partial_t w_1\right|^2+\left|f(s,x)\right|^2 dxds\right)\\ &\leq C_T^1\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)\left|\partial_t w\right|^2+\left|f(s,x)\right|^2+\left|w\right|^2 dxds\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

holds for every $t\geq 0$. We have (cf, [6, proposition 2])

$$\begin{aligned} E_{w_1}(s) &\leq 4e^{s-t}\left(E_{w_1}(t)+\int_t^s\int_\Omega\left|f(s,x)\right|^2+\left|w\right|^2 dxds\right)\\ &\leq 4e^T\left(E_{w_1}(t)+\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega\left|f(s,x)\right|^2+\left|w\right|^2 dxds\right),\text{ for } t\leq s\leq t+T. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate above, (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$\int_t^{t+T}(1+s)E_w(s)\,ds\leq\tilde{C}_T\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)\left|\partial_t w\right|^2+\left|f(s,x)\right|^2+\left|w\right|^2 dxds\right).$$

Which yields to

$$\int_t^{t+T}(1+s)E_w(s)\,ds\leq\tilde{C}_T\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)\left|\partial_t u\right|^2+\left|f(s,x)\right|^2+\left|w\right|^2 dxds\right). \tag{3.10}$$

Now we estimate the second and the third term of the RHS of the estimate above. For second term it is clear that

$$\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega\left|f(s,x)\right|^2 dxds\leq C_L\int_t^{t+T}\int_{\Omega\cap B_{2L}}(1+s)\left|\nabla u\right|^2+(1+s)^{-1}\left|\partial_t u\right|^2 dxds, \tag{3.11}$$

for some $C_L>0$. Using (3.6), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+T}\int_{\Omega\cap B_{2L}}(1+s)\left|\nabla u\right|^2 dxds &\leq C_{T,\delta}\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)\left|\partial_t u\right|^2 dxds\right)\\ &\quad+C_T\delta\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega\left|u\right|^2 dxds+(1+t)E_u(t)\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term of the RHS of (3.11), we use (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} &\textstyle\int_t^{t+T}\int_{\Omega\cap B_{2L}}(1+s)^{-1}\left|\partial_t u\right|^2 dxds\\ &\leq C_{T,\delta}\left(\textstyle\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(x)\left|\partial_t u\right|^2 dxds\right)+\delta E_u(t)\\ &\leq C_{T,\delta}\left(\textstyle\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)\left|\partial_t u\right|^2 dxds\right)+C_T\delta\left(\textstyle\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega\left|u\right|^2 dxds+(1+t)E_u(t)\right), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$(1+t)E_u(t)\leq\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)\left|\partial_t u\right|^2 dxds+\frac{1}{T}\int_t^{t+T}(1+s)E_u(s)\,ds,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega |f(s,x)|^2\,dxds &\le C_{T,\delta}\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds\right)\\ &\quad + C_T\delta\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega |u|^2\,dxds+\int_t^{t+T}(1+s)E_u(s)\,ds\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

To estimate the third term of the RHS of (3.10) we use (2.8) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega |w|^2\,dxds\\ &\le C_{T,\delta}\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(x)|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds\right)+\delta E_u(t)\\ &\le C_{T,\delta}\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds\right)+C_T\delta\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega |u|^2\,dxds+\int_t^{t+T}(1+s)E_u(s)\,ds\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_t^{t+T}(1+s)E_w(s)\,ds\\ &\le C_{T,\delta}\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds\right)+C_T\delta\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega |u|^2\,dxds+\int_t^{t+T}(1+s)E_u(s)\,ds\right).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (3.6) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega (1+s)|\nabla\psi|^2|u|^2\,dxds\\ \le\;& C_{T,\delta}\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds\right)+C_T\delta\left(\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega |u|^2\,dxds+\int_t^{t+T}(1+s)E_u(s)\,ds\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now (3.7) and the two estimates above gives

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t+T)X(t+T)-(1+t)X(t)+(1-3C_T\delta)\int_t^{t+T}(1+s)E_u(s)\,ds\\ &+\left(k-\tfrac{2}{\epsilon_0}-3C_{T,\delta}\right)\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds\\ &\le \int_t^{t+T}X(s)\,ds+C_T\delta\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega |u|^2\,dxds.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$X(t) \le \frac{3}{2}\|a\|_\infty\int_\Omega |v(t)|^2\,dx+\left(k+\frac{2}{\epsilon_0}\right)E_u(t)\ \text{ and}\tag{3.14}$$

$$X(t) \ge \frac{\epsilon_0}{4}\int_\Omega |v(t)|^2\,dx+\left(k-\frac{8}{\epsilon_0}\right)E_u(t).\tag{3.15}$$

We choose δ and k such that

$$1-3C_T\delta=\frac{1}{2},$$
$$k-\frac{2}{\epsilon_0}-3C_{T,\delta}\ge\epsilon>0 \text{ and } k-\frac{8}{\epsilon_0}\ge\epsilon.$$

Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+t+T)X(t+T)-(1+t)X(t)+\tfrac{1}{2}\int_t^{t+T}(1+s)E_u(s)\,ds\\ &+\epsilon\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega a(1+s)|\partial_t u|^2\,dxds\\ &\le \int_t^{t+T}X(s)\,ds+\tfrac{1}{6}\int_t^{t+T}\int_\Omega |u|^2\,dxds.\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

As in the proof of theorem 1, from the estimate above, we deduce that

$$\begin{array}{l}\sup\limits_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left(\left(1+t\right)X\left(t\right)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{+\infty}\left(1+s\right)E_u\left(s\right)ds\\ \leq C\left(X\left(0\right)+\int_0^{+\infty}X\left(s\right)ds+\int_0^{+\infty}\int_\Omega\left|u\right|^2dxds\right).\end{array}\tag{3.17}$$

Using the fact that $1-\psi\equiv 1$ for $|x|\geq 2L$, we obtain

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left(1+t\right)\int_{\{|x|\geq 2L\}}\left|u\left(t\right)\right|^2dx\leq C\left(X\left(0\right)+\int_0^{+\infty}X\left(s\right)ds+\int_0^{+\infty}\int_\Omega\left|u\right|^2dxds\right).$$

On the other hand, using (2.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left(1+t\right)\int_{\Omega\cap B_{2L}}\left|u\left(t\right)\right|^2dx &\leq C_L\left(1+t\right)\int_\Omega\left|\nabla u\left(t\right)\right|^2dx\\ &\leq C_L\left(1+t\right)E_u\left(t\right)\\ &\leq CI_0.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates above we deduce that

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left(1+t\right)\int_\Omega\left|u\left(t\right)\right|^2dx\leq C\left(X\left(0\right)+\int_0^{+\infty}X\left(s\right)ds+\int_0^{+\infty}\int_\Omega\left|u\right|^2dxds+I_0\right).\tag{3.18}$$

Now we need the following result due to Ikehata [9, lemma 2.5]

Lemma 3. *Let u be a solution of* (1.1) *with initial data* (u_0,u_1) *in* $H_0^1\left(\Omega\right)\times L^2\left(\Omega\right)$ *which satisfies*

$$\left\|d\left(\cdot\right)\left(u_1+au_0\right)\right\|_{L^2}<+\infty$$

where

$$d\left(x\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}|x| & d\geq 3\\ |x|\ln\left(B\left|x\right|\right) & d=2\end{array}\right.$$

with $B\inf\limits_{x\in\Omega}|x|\geq 2$. *Then there exists* $C>0$, *such that*

$$\left\|u\left(t\right)\right\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^t\int_\Omega a\left|u\left(s,x\right)\right|^2dxds\leq C\left(\left\|u_0\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|d\left(\cdot\right)\left(u_1+au_0\right)\right\|_{L^2}^2\right),\tag{3.19}$$

for all $t\geq 0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\int_\Omega\left|u\right|^2dxds &\leq \int_0^t\int_{\Omega\cap B_L}\left|u\right|^2dxds+\int_0^t\int_{\{|x|\geq L\}}\left|u\right|^2dxds\\ &\leq C_L\int_0^t\int_{\Omega\cap B_L}\left|\nabla u\right|^2dxds+\frac{1}{\epsilon_0}\int_0^t\int_\Omega a\left|u\left(s,x\right)\right|^2dxds\\ &\leq C_L\int_0^tE_u\left(s\right)ds+\frac{1}{\epsilon_0}\int_0^t\int_\Omega a\left|u\left(s,x\right)\right|^2dxds.\end{aligned}$$

Now using (3.19) and (2.16), we get

$$\int_0^{+\infty}\int_\Omega\left|u\right|^2dxds\leq CI_1,$$

with

$$I_1=\left\|u_0\right\|_{H^1}^2+\left\|u_1\right\|_{L^2}^2+\left\|d\left(\cdot\right)\left(u_1+au_0\right)\right\|_{L^2}^2.$$

On the other hand, using (3.14) and the fact that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} E_u(s)\,ds \leq CI_0$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{+\infty} X(s)\,ds &\leq C\left(\int_0^{+\infty}\int_\Omega |u|^2\,dxds + \int_0^{+\infty} E_u(s)\,ds\right)\\ &\leq CI_1.\end{aligned}$$

Finally it is clear that,

$$X(0) \leq CI_1.$$

Therefore from (3.18)

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\mathbb{R}_+}(1+t)\int_\Omega |u(t)|^2\,dx &\leq C\left(X(0) + \int_0^{+\infty} X(s)\,ds + \int_0^{+\infty}\int_\Omega |u|^2\,dxds + I_0\right)\\ &\leq CI_1,\end{aligned}$$

and (3.17), gives

$$\int_0^{+\infty}(1+s)\,E_u(s)\,ds \leq CI_1.$$

The energy decay estimate follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)^2 E_u(t) &\leq E_u(0) + 2\int_0^{+\infty}(1+s)\,E_u(s)\,ds\\ &\leq CI_1, \text{ for all } t \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of theorem 2 and now we give the proof of proposition 2.

3.2. **Proof of proposition 2.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and u the solution of (1.1) with initial data in $D(A^n)$ such that $u_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$\|d(\cdot)(u_1 + au_0)\|_{L^2} < +\infty.$$

Let $v = \partial_t u$. Using these estimates

$$\int_0^{+\infty}(1+s)\,E_u(s)\,ds \leq CI_1 \text{ and } \int_0^{+\infty}(1+s)\,E_v(s)\,ds \leq CI_{0,1},$$

and proceeding as in the proof of proposition 1, we show that

$$\int_0^{+\infty}(1+s)^2\,E_v(s)\,ds \leq C_1 I_{1,1}.$$

We set $u_n = \partial_t^n u$, for $n \geq 1$. Using induction argument and arguing as in the proof of proposition 1, we prove that

$$\int_0^{+\infty}(1+s)^{n+1}\,E_{u_n}(s)\,ds \leq C_n I_{1,n},$$

with

$$I_{1,n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n}\left\|A^i(u_0,u_1)\right\|_H^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|d(\cdot)(u_1 + au_0)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The energy decay estimate follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)^{n+2} E_{u_n}(t) &\leq E_{u_n}(0)+(n+2)\int_0^t (1+s)^{n+1} E_{u_n}(s)\,ds \\ &\leq C_n I_{1,n}, \text{ for all } t\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Now using the estimate above, we infer that,

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)^{n+1}\left\|\partial_t^n u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq 2(1+t)^{n+1} E_{u_{n-1}}(t) \\ &\leq C_{n-1} I_{1,n-1} \text{ for all } t\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

We have $\partial_t^{n-1}u$ is a solution of the following system

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^{n+1}u-\Delta\partial_t^{n-1}u+a(x)\,\partial_t^n u=0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+\times\Omega, \\ \partial_t^{n-1}u=0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+\times\Gamma, \\ \left(\partial_t^{n-1}u(0,x),\partial_t^n u(0,x)\right)\in D(A) & \end{cases} \tag{3.20}$$

therefore

$$\left(\partial_t^{n-1}u,\partial_t^n u\right)\in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+,H).$$

Using Eq (3.20), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}(1+t)^{n+1}\left\|\Delta\partial_t^{n-1}u(t)\right\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C(1+t)^{n+1}\left(E_{u_n}(t)+E_{u_{n-1}}(t)\right) \\ &\leq CI_{1,n}.\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] L. Aloui, S. Ibrahimb and K. Nakanishi, Exponential Energy Decay for Damped Klein–Gordon Equation with Nonlinearities of Arbitrary Growth, Communications in Partial Differential Equations, Volume 36, Issue 5, 797-818, (2010)

[2] Jeong Ja Bae, Mitsuhiro Nakao, Energy decay for the wave equation with boundary and localized dissipations in exterior domains, Math. Nachr. 278, No. 7–8, 771 – 783 (2005).

[3] C. Bardos, G. Lebeau, J. Rauch, Sharp sufficient conditions for the observation, control, and stabilization of waves from the boundary. SIAM J. Control Optimization 30, No.5, 1024-1065 (1992).

[4] N. Burq, P. Gérard, Condition nécessaire et suffisante pour la contrôlabilité exacte des ondes, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences - Series I - Mathematics, Volume 325, Issue 7, October 1997, Pages 749-752.

[5] W. Dan, Y. Shibata, On a local energy decay of solutions of a dissipative wave equation, Funkcial. Ekvac. 38 (1995) 545–568.

[6] M. Daoulatli , Behaviors of the energy of solutions of the wave equation with damping and external force, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 389, Issue 1, 1 May 2012, Pages 205–225.

[7] B. Dehman, G. Lebeau and E. Zuazua, Stabilization and control for the subcritical semilinear wave equation, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4e série, t. 36, 2003, p. 525 à 551.

[8] R. Ikehata, Energy decay of solutions for the semilinear dissipative wave equations in an exterior domain, Funkcial. Ekvac. 44 (2001) 487–499.

[9] R. Ikehata, Fast decay of solutions for linear wave equations with dissipation localized near infinity in an exterior domain, Journal of Differential Equations, Volume 188, Issue 2, 1 March 2003, Pages 390-405.

[10] R. Ikehata, T. Matsuyama, L2-behaviour of solutions to the linear heat and wave equations in exterior domains, Sci. Math. Japon. 55 (2002) 33–42.

[11] S. Kawashima, M. Nakao, K. Ono, On the decay property of solutions to the Cauchy problem of the semilinear wave equation with a dissipative term, J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 47 (1995) 617–653.

[12] Lax, P.D. and Phillips, R.S. – Scattering theory, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Academics Press, New York 26, 1967.

[13] M. Nakao, Energy decay for the linear and semilinear wave equations in exterior domains with some localized dissipations, Math. Z. 238 (2001) 781–797.

[14] M. Nakao, Decay of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Klein-Gordon equation with a localized nonlinear dissipation, Hokkaido Math. J. 27 (1998), p. 245–271.
[15] R. Racke, Non-homogeneous non-linear damped wave equations in unbounded domains, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 13 (1990), 481–491.
[16] E. Zuazua, Exponential deacy for the semilinear wave equation with locallized damping in unbounded domains, J. Math. pures et appl. 70 (1992), p. 513–529.

Department of Mathematics, FSB, University of Carthage & LAMSIN, ENIT, University of Tunis Elmanar
E-mail address, M. Daoulatli: `moez.daoulatli@infcom.rnu.tn`